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Meeting Objectives:

The consultative meeting on higher education has two main objectives:

- **Develop a common understanding of the priorities and approaches that should be adopted to improve the relevance and quality of higher education in Africa.**

- **Develop a common understanding of how development partners can complement ongoing efforts, with a view to improving the relevance and quality of higher education in Africa.**
Where does the shoe pinch?
The Shabani (2013) and Okebukola (2015) studies

• **Ranking of Challenges Facing Higher Education in Africa**
• **Survey of African higher education stakeholders from all sub-regions**
Findings: Top 7 Challenges

1. Depreciating quality of higher education teachers
2. Research capacity deficit
3. Inadequacies in funding
4. Infrastructural/facilities inadequacies
5. Capacity deficit of quality assurance agencies
6. Management inefficiencies
7. Poor quality of entrants into higher education from the secondary level
“Money cannot solve all of higher education’s problems, but without money, no solution is possible”
FEES MUST FALL!

FREE QUALITY EDUCATION 2016
FEES MUST FALL
In the face of recession funding cuts loom
The Armageddon scenario of 2063

• Unimaginable depression in quality if new funding models are not in place in earnest.
Thanks to AAU for seeking sustainable funding models in response to the need expressed by its members.
No one-size-fits-all
Historical antecedents

- Pre-1960s colonial funding models
- Post-independence models
Africa accounts for only **0.6 percent of Global Gross Expenditure on Research and Development**, with South Africa’s share representing as much as 90 percent of this contribution.

Less than 2% of world researchers and about 1.5% of the world share of scientific publications were provided by Sub-Saharan African countries in 2014.
Annual Current Public Expenditure per Student in African Countries, 2010 (or Closest)

GAP ANALYSIS

[Graph showing annual current public expenditure per student for different African countries]
Major challenges facing funding of higher education in Africa

- Enrolment growth has outpaced funding capabilities
- Inefficient application of funds by both government and higher education institutions often dilutes the impact of funds provided
- Increasing decline in public expenditure per student
- Research and quality investment are largely underfunded

Shabani, 2013; Okebukola, 2015
Case studies of addressing the challenges

- Ghana
- Kenya
- Nigeria
- Senegal
- South Africa
Ghana- Emmanuel Newman and Mahama Duweijua

- Combination of earmarked grants for higher education and performance funding
- GETFund support
- Student loans and bursaries
Kenya- Crispus Kiamba

- Universities Act 2012 established the University Fund
- Student loans and bursaries
Nigeria

• Envelope system + TETFund
Senegal- Bhen Sikina Toguebaye

• Performance contract funding
South Africa-Bassey A. Antia
General Features

• **Goal-oriented and performance-related**: government grants distributed to institutions according to national goals and approved institutional plans. Payment for services and outputs

• **Improvement-oriented**: rather than necessarily being punitive, it has instruments for encouraging improvements when targets not met

• **Caveat!** On-going reviews, changing benchmarks*. Examples drawn largely from funding architecture, categories and benchmarks of the first triennium of the new funding framework (2004/5 – 2006/7)
Financing South African higher education (HE) and research in theoretical perspective

• The new funding framework for HE and other interventions from actors in the National System for Innovation, especially the NRF, confirm that, internationally, government allocations to the HE sector consists of
  • Block grants (based on formulas) to support teaching, research and other operational costs
  • Allocations to support loan schemes for students
  • Ad hoc, earmarked and/or competitive allocations
Importance of Intervention Funds

- TETFund (Nigeria)
- GETFund (Ghana)
- .... others
PRACTICAL AND SUSTAINABLE FUNDING MODELS

1. Performance-based Funding Model
2. Access-Equity-Cost-Sharing Model
3. Contextualised Formula-Funding Model
4. Host-Proprietor-University-User-Funding Model
UNIT COST OF UNIVERSITY EDUCATION = Heart of the Matter

\[ U.C = TRC + TCC + SLE \]

\[ TSE \]

Where,

- U.C = Unit Cost
- TRC = Total Recurrent Costs
- TCC = Total Capital Costs
- SLE = Student Living Expenses
- TSE = Total Student Enrolment
## 2014 Unit Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Observed Unit Cost (N)</th>
<th>Expected Unit Cost (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>525,000</td>
<td>719,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>555,000</td>
<td>760,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>690,000</td>
<td>945,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>555,000</td>
<td>760,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>645,000</td>
<td>883,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Sciences</td>
<td>735,000</td>
<td>1,006,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>594,000</td>
<td>813,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences</td>
<td>549,000</td>
<td>752,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>615,000</td>
<td>842,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td>906,000</td>
<td>1,241,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>735,000</td>
<td>1,006,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Medicine</td>
<td>735,000</td>
<td>1,006,950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance-based Funding

- This rewards universities for efficiency in teaching, research and community service and encourages competition among universities which will stimulate the evolution of centres of excellence. It makes funding allocation more transparent and more competitive through redistributive funding formulae mainly based on performance. (The funding formula is kept simple, with unambiguous metrics, so expectations are clear to everyone.). The Block Grant to a University (BGU) is given as

\[ \text{BGU} = \text{CC} \times 0.6 \times \text{AUC} \times \text{APR} \times \text{DSE} \times \text{4GR} \times \text{GER} \times \text{RSO} \times \text{EGO} \]
This demands the lowering of financial barriers to higher education while ensuring equity in sharing of the funding burden by different stakeholders based on ability to pay.
Fund universities based on a **formula which factors in individual peculiarities and current state of physical development and a desire to encourage programmes in science and technology with potential to accelerate impact on socio-economic development.**

Calculate Total Funding Need of each university (UTFN) through a series of consultative processes with each public university using the formula:

\[
UTFN = \text{PNAS} + (CC \times .02AUC \times FST \times RA \times GS) \times .02AUC + K
\]
This model implicates all beneficiaries of the location and service of the university in contributing to funding the university.
Preference of African Vice-Chancellors

Performance-based funding model (65%)
Securing improved funding: The African Model

• Strong-arm tactic
• Dialogue with the top leadership of government
• Vigorous exploration of non-proprietor sources (endowments, PPP)
Tertiary Education Trust Fund
Message for this Consultation

• The Mastercard Foundation and AAU to commission a study on the impact of existing national tertiary education trust funds (and other models of funding) on delivery of higher education in Africa.

• Use the findings of this study as advocacy tool for convincing African governments on selection of appropriate funding models.
12 Tips for running a university on lean budget

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eUgzh3DX6Wvg
Thank you